

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,054	01/04/2002	Steven Teig	SPLX.P0047	1801	
48947	7590 01/11/2006		EXAM	INER	
•	JOHANSEN, AND AI RY PARK EAST SUITE		DIMYAN, MAGID Y		
	TY, CA 90067	E 1300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2825		
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*				al.			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/040,054	TEIG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Magid Y. Dimyan	2825				
	The MAILING DATE of this communica		ith the correspondence addr	ess			
Period for	• •						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN IN TH	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 87 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this commodities. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>04 January 2002 - 25 Februar</u>	<u>γ 2003</u> .				
2a)□		☐ This action is non-final.					
3)							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 8-14</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>6,7,15 and 16</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. to.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 17 April 2002 is	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be			• •			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have beer I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age			
Attachmen		المحادث	Summany (DTO 442)				
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo r No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/03,11/17/03.	-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/040,054 Page 2

Art Unit: 2825

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action pertains to Application No. 10/040,054, filed 04 January 2002.
 Claims 1 – 16 remain pending in this Application.

## Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 1, 5, 6, 8 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - Claim 1, line 9, insert --and-- after ";".
  - Claim 3, line 2, delete "sub-region" and insert -- sub-regions--.
  - Claim 5, line 3, insert --and-- after ";".
  - Claim 6, lines 9, 11 and 19, insert --and-- after ";". Also, claim 6, line 20, delete "an" and insert --a--.
  - Claim 8, line 3, insert --and-- after ";".
  - Claim 10, line 4, insert -- and -- after ",".
- 3. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1 5 and 8 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: in claim 1, lines 4 and 5, Applicants cite "<u>identifying a set of routes that traverse the particular set of potential sub-regions</u>", but then continue by citing "<u>for each particular route</u>

Application/Control Number: 10/040,054

Art Unit: 2825

identified" without specifying how the particular identified route is extracted or obtained from the identified set of routes.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,295,634 B1 to Matsumoto.
- 8. Regarding claim 1, Matsumoto discloses a method of pre-computing routes for nets (see col. 1, II. 61 67) for a router that uses a set of partitioning lines to partition a region of a design layout into a plurality of (triangular) sub-regions (see Fig. 3, block S11; Figs. 7, 11, 12;col. 5, II. 40 64), the method comprising: for each particular set of potential sub-regions, identifying a set of routes that traverse the particular set of potential sub-regions (see Figs. 3 and 10, blocks S220/S240; col. 7, II. 19 41; col. 18, II. 1 14); for each particular route identified for each set of sub-regions, determine whether the particular route is stored in a storage structure (see Fig. 1, blocks 112 and 202; Fig. 3, block S118; Fig. 10; Fig. 28; col. 13, II. 33 43 and col. 14, II. 27 33); and when the particular route is not stored in the storage structure, storing the particular

Art Unit: 2825

route in the storage structure (see also col. 14, II. 5 – 23; col. 22, II. 27 – 50). Thus, Matsumato clearly teaches all the claimed limitations.

Page 4

- As per claim 2, see Fig. 24, which shows numerous examples of diagonal route 9. edges of the identified routes, as claimed.
- 10. Pursuant to claims 3 and 4, see (8) above, as well as Figs. 22 and 23 (Route B); col. 22, II. 18 – 32, which teach the claimed elements pertaining to associating a route with a sub-region.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 5, 6, 7, 15 and 16 are allowable if amended to overcome the 35 U.S.C 112 rejection and objections cited above.
- **12**. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: prior art does not teach, or suggest, the method for pre-computing routes using an EDA router that routes **nets with a region of an IC layout** that includes: defining a set of partitioning lines to partition the region into sub-regions; identifying and storing a first set of routes that connect the first set of sub-regions, and establishing a relationship between the first set of routes and sub-regions; identifying a second set of routes that connect a second set of sub-regions, storing the new route if not stored already, and establishing a relationship between the new route and the second set of sub-regions; and when a repeating route in the second set is stored, establishing a relationship between the repeating route and the second set of sub-regions.

Art Unit: 2825

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Magid Y. Dimyan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on (571) 272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Magid Y Dimyan Examiner Art Unit 2825 Page 5

myd 29 December 2005

> VUTHE SIEK PRIMARY EXAMINES